

**Please check your submission one more time.**

**Then scroll all the way down to the bottom of this page to submit your abstract.**

After you review your submission, scroll all the way down to the bottom of this page to submit your abstract. Please note that if any areas are incomplete, you will be prompted to go back and complete before submitting your abstract. To make updates, select any step from the tool bar menu and edit accordingly. Be sure to save your changed before moving on. If you make edits to this submission, you must complete this Session Confirmation step each time so it can be saved in the system. **Failure to complete this step may result in your submission being marked incomplete and withdrawn after the submission deadline.**

## Hype, Not Hope: Using Bayesian Methods in Drug Development

### Igl W

ICON PLC, Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

**OBJECTIVES:** The application of Bayesian methods in drug development has been controversially discussed on the basis of theoretical, empirical, and practical arguments. This debate has gained new momentum by a recent publication by Ruberg et al. (2023) [1] who proposed that Bayesian approaches have the potential to be "the single most impactful tool for accelerating the development of new medicines". Here, I discuss the various arguments in favor of Bayesian methods in drug development and explain why these arguments may make Bayesian analysis actually less attractive to drug developers and regulators, thereby, creating hype, not hope for their adoption.

**METHODS:** The presented arguments in favour of Bayesian methods which have been presented in selected influential publications, e.g. Ruberg et al. (2023), are critically discussed and if possible, solutions to the raised issues are proposed.

**RESULTS:** For example, fundamental distinctions between frequentist and Bayesian approaches with enormous consequences are stated. However, the priority for regulators is more on being conservative and consistent and less on being innovative. Therefore, such a statement may make the application of Bayesian methods less likely. In addition, this statement is exaggerated. Bayesian and (likelihood-based) frequentist approaches will give similar if not identical results if a non-informative prior distribution is used. They will also converge towards identical results as more data are collected. Therefore, it may be wiser to emphasize the similarities of frequentist and Bayesian approaches rather than the (presumed) differences.

### **CONCLUSIONS:**

Although the author initially stated that he expected "hype, not hope" for Bayesian methods in drug development, his hope actually is that by addressing the raised issues the advantages, which Bayesian statistical rethinking has to offer, become clearer to drug developers and regulators.

REFERENCES: [1] Ruberg, S. J. *et al.* Application of Bayesian approaches in drug development: starting a virtuous cycle. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 1–16 (2023) doi:10.1038/s41573-023-00638-0.

### **Abstract ID#:**

130902

### **Submitter's E-mail Address:**

wilmar.igl@iconplc.com

### **Program Selection:**

Research

**Preferred Presentation Format:**

Either poster or podium

**Research Study Approach:**

Conceptual Papers

**Main Topic/Taxonomy:**

Methodological &amp; Statistical Research

**Subtopics:**

Does Not Apply: Does Not Apply

Health Policy &amp; Regulatory: Approval &amp; Labeling

Health Policy &amp; Regulatory: Coverage with Evidence Development &amp; Adaptive Pathways

Methodological &amp; Statistical Research: Confounding, Selection Bias Correction, Causal Inference

**Primary Specific Diseases & Cond./Specialized Treatment Areas:**

No Additional Disease &amp; Conditions/Specialized Treatment Areas

**Additional Diseases & Conditions/Specialized Treatment Areas:**

No Additional Disease &amp; Conditions/Specialized Treatment Areas

First author***Presenting Author***

Wilmar Igl, PhD, MSc Med Stat, MSc (eq) Psych  
ICON | Operational Delivery | Biostatistics and Programming  
ICON PLC  
Gitarrvägen 41  
Uppsala, Uppsala, 75654  
Sweden

**Phone Number:** 0722897630**Email Address:** wilmar.igl@iconplc.com

\* Membership Number 3237715

***Submitter***

Wilmar Igl, PhD, MSc Med Stat, MSc (eq) Psych  
ICON | Operational Delivery | Biostatistics and Programming  
ICON PLC  
Gitarrvägen 41  
Uppsala, Uppsala, 75654  
Sweden

**Phone Number:** 0722897630**Email Address:** wilmar.igl@iconplc.com

\* Membership Number 3237715

**FINAL STEPS**

**Enter your name (or the name of the lead author) in the certification statement below. -  
(Required)**

I, , affirm that this abstract conforms to all the abstract policies and procedures as stated in the Call for Abstracts. I certify that the authors named are familiar with the presented material, agree with the results as stated (original research), and have specifically consented to be included as authors. I certify, represent, and warrant that I have the right to publish this abstract as submitted and agree to indemnify ISPOR from any claims arising from this material, and assign copyright of this abstract to ISPOR. **(This abstract will not be accepted if this step is not complete.)**

Click  to print this page now.

**CONCLUDE SUBMISSION**